City of Las Vegas

of Las Vesas Agenda Item No.: 15.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 29, 2007 PEMENT, DI ANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: M. MARGO V			sent 🛭 Discussion
SUBJECT: MSP-24914 - MASTER SIGN PRESERVE - OWNER: LAS Major Modification to an approv WALL SIGN at 351 South Valle Ward 1 (Tarkanian) P.C.: FINAL ACTION (Unless A	VEGAS VA yed Master Si y View Bould	LLEY WATER DISTRICT ign Plan (MSP-18047) FOR evard (APN 139-29-401-001)	Γ - Request for a AN ADDITIONAL
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:		APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:	
Planning Commission Mtg.	5	Planning Commission Mtg	g. 2
City Council Meeting	0	City Council Meeting	0
RECOMMENDATION: APPROVAL BACKUP DOCUMENTATION 1. Location and Aerial Maps 2. Conditions and Staff Report 3. Supporting Documentation 4. Photo 5. Justification Letter 6. Protest Postcards 7. Submitted after final agenda – Jones Media	Protest/suppo		nfirmation letter by
Motion made by DAVID STEINM	IAN to Deny		
Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain GLENN TROWBRIDGE, DAVII RICHARD TRUESDELL, SAM I (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-N	O STEINMAN DUNNAM; (A	N, STEVEN EVANS, BYRON	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Minutes:

CHAIRMAN DAVENPORT declared the Public Hearing open.

NOTE: CHAIRMAN DAVENPORT abstained as his house is in the notice area.

City of Las Vegas Agenda Item No.: 15.

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 29, 2007

DOUG RANKIN, Planning and Development Department, stated the proposed signage would negatively impact the surrounding area and recommended approval.

TOM JONES, 4145 Teco Avenue, appeared on behalf of the applicant and agreed with all conditions.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, requested clarification of the applicant's request and recommended approval. MR. RANKIN explained the applicant's proposal met the requirements of a major modification. CHAIRMAN DAVENPORT also informed MR. McGOWAN that the City has no control over sign content.

COMMISSIONER TRUESDELL disclosed that he manages a property adjacent to the Springs Preserve, but he would vote on this matter as he did not feel there would be any economic impact to either side. He expressed concern with the precedent that would be established by approving this application and stated he could not support the request.

COMMISSIONERS TROWBRIDGE and EVANS concurred with COMMISSIONER TRUESDELL. COMMISSIONER TROWBRIDGE stated he could support a sign on Valley View Boulevard, but not an additional sign along the freeway.

In response to COMMISSIONER EVANS' questions, MR. JONES explained that the Springs Preserve needed more advertising as it was currently struggling to bring people into the facility. He briefly described how the sign would look and function. COMMISSIONER EVANS observed that the proposed sign did not meet the Springs Preserve's high standards and stated he could not support the request. MR. JONES explained that the approval was only for the sign's lettering as the other elements already had permits.

MARGO WHEELER, Director of the Planning and Development Department, noted that the Commissioners' reports contained all the sign renderings submitted by the applicant.

COMMISSIONER DUNNAM suggested a reduction in entrance fees would be more successful at increasing attendance than the additional signage.

MR. JONES explained that the approval was only for the sign's lettering as the other elements already had permits.

COMMISSIONER STEINMAN suggested the applicant work with the Nevada Department of Transportation to place signage directing traffic to the Springs Preserve as a way to encourage people to visit the facility. He stated that placing a sign on the sound wall was not the appropriate solution.

CHAIRMAN DAVENPORT declared the Public Hearing closed.